

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	· FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/828,519	04/06/2001	Andrew W. Lo	1869-003A	6245
9629 7590 09/14/2007 MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW			EXAMINER	
			PATEL, JAGDISH	
WASHINGTON, DC 20004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3693	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/828,519	LO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	JAGDISH PATEL	3693			
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r ication. ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON I, by statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. EANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>16 May 2007</u> .				
• ,	,—				
•					
closed in accordance with the practice	under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 6-10 is/are pending in the approach 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 6-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.	<i>i</i>			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the factor of the f	a) accepted or b) objected to on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar ne correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
	ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in A the priority documents have been al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	D-948) Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of li 6) Other:	nformal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 09/828,519 Page 2

Art Unit: 3693

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is in response to amendment filed 5/16/07.

Response to Amendment

- 2. No Claims have been amended. Claims 1-5 and 11-14 have been cancelled. Claims 6-10 are currently pending.
- 3. Please note that the application has been assigned to Examiner Jagdish Patel whose contact information is provided below.
- 4. Although, the pending claims have been found allowable over the prior art, certain defects must be resolved in order that the claims are resolved under 35 USC 112(second). These issued have note been addressed in the prior office action warranting this action to be a non-final action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/828,519 Page 3

Art Unit: 3693

4. Claims 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The following analysis applied to all independent claims.

4.1 The claims recite the limitation of the data processor as being capable of "generating said non-linear relationship." which recite the claim as a whole indefinite because it has been held by the courts that the recitation that an element is "capable of" perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform and does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. In re Hutchinson, 69 USPQ 138.

Suggested language: "capable of" should read "comprising means for."

- **4.2** The claims recite limitation "a pattern recognition processor.. to discern the existence of one or more patterns ..". However, there is no utility for the this functionality of the pattern recognition processor. It is unclear what role it plays in the detection of the various pattern formations, which is stated in the limitation of "programming".
- **4.3** (see also 4.2). the usage of phrase "if any" which applied to "said pattern" renders the claim indefinite because it the entire functionality of the (pattern recognition) processor is predicated on the existence of the "one or more patterns". The claim fails to specify what the processor does if the no pattern exists.

Application/Control Number: 09/828,519

Art Unit: 3693

the output generated by the (pattern recognition) processor is recited as "based on a recognition of said pattern" without identifying which pattern (of the one or more patterns). Therefore, the limitation "the pattern" lacks positive antecedent basis.

- **4.4** The limitation "programming" renders the claim(s) unclear because this limitation recited as "standalone" or unrelated to any other limitation of the system claims. Is the process of detecting performed by the programming (means) related to the one or more patterns discerned by the pattern recognition processor? or is it based upon "the results output"?
- 4.5 The claim fails to recite structural relationship amongst the elements of the system (interpreted as an apparatus, a statutory class of invention). As an example, the database, the data processor, the pattern recognition processor and the programming are not communicably linked.
- 4.6 the element of the system recited as "programming" is being interpreted as programmed executable code. It is unclear what is any structural part of the system contains this element and which inputs are provided to detect a given pattern.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAGDISH PATEL whose telephone number is (571) 272-6748.

The examiner can normally be reached on 800AM-630PM Mon-Tue and Thu.

Application/Control Number: 09/828,519

Art Unit: 3693

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **KRAMER JAMES A** can be reached on **(571)272-6783**. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 517-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jagdish N. Patel

(Primary Examiner, AU 3693)

9/11/07